

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION

| | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| JAMES E. SHELTON, |) Judge Christopher A. Boyko |
| |) |
| Plaintiff, |) Case No. 1:19-cv-01205 |
| |) |
| v. |) |
| |) |
| BLINDBID INC., dba TCPA LITIGATOR |) |
| LIST, et al. |) |
| |) |
| Defendants, |) |
| |) |

**DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT
PURSUANT TO FED. RS. CIV. P. 12(b)(1), (2), and (6)**

Defendants, Blindbid Inc. (“Blindbid”) and Michael O’Hare (“O’Hare”) (collectively, “Defendants”), move this Court to dismiss Plaintiff, James E. Shelton’s (“Plaintiff”) Complaint under Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 12(b)(1), (2) and (6). A Memorandum in Support is attached hereto and incorporated herein.

Respectfully Submitted:

DINN HOCHMAN & POTTER, LLC:

/s/ Benjamin D. Carnahan
BENJAMIN D. CARNAHAN (0079737)
5910 Landerbrook Drive, Suite 200
Cleveland, Ohio 44124
T: 440-446-1100
F: 440-446-1240
bcarnahan@dhplaw.com
Attorneys for Defendants

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|---|------|
| TABLE OF AUTHORITIES | iv |
| STATUTES AND RULES..... | viii |
| INTRODUCTION..... | 1 |
| STANDARDS OF REVIEW..... | 3 |
| A. Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(1) Standard..... | 3 |
| B. Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(2) Standard..... | 5 |
| C. Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6) Standard..... | 6 |
| ARGUMENT AND AUTHORITY..... | 7 |
| A. Plaintiff's Complaint Should Be Dismissed for Lack of Article III Standing..... | 7 |
| 1. Plaintiff Lacks Constitutional Standing Because He Has Suffered No Concrete Injury..... | 7 |
| 2. Plaintiff Lacks Prudential Standing Because His Claims Are Outside the Zone of Interests of FCRA..... | 10 |
| B. Defendants Should Be Dismissed From This Action for Lack of Personal Jurisdiction..... | 11 |
| 1. No Basis Exists Under the Ohio Long-Arm Statute to Exercise Personal Jurisdiction Over Defendants..... | 11 |
| 2. Exercising Personal Jurisdiction Over Defendants Cannot Comport With Due Process Requirements..... | 12 |
| a. Defendants are not subject to general personal jurisdiction because they do not have continuous and systematic contacts with Ohio..... | 13 |
| b. Defendants are not subject to specific personal jurisdiction..... | 15 |
| C. Plaintiff's Complaint Fails to State a Claim Under FCRA..... | 16 |

| | |
|--|----|
| 1. The Allegations in the Complaint Do Not Plausibly Relate to Consumer "Credit"..... | 17 |
| 2. The TCPA Litigator List is Not a "Consumer Report"..... | 17 |
| 3. Defendants are Not a "Consumer Reporting Agency"..... | 18 |

| | |
|--|----|
| D. Plaintiff's Complaint Fails to State a Claim Against Defendant Michael O'Hare in His Individual Capacity..... | 19 |
| E. Because Plaintiff's FCRA Claim Fails to State a Claim, Plaintiff's CSPA Claim Fails to State a Claim..... | 20 |
| CONCLUSION..... | 20 |
| LOCAL RULE 7(f) CERTIFICATION..... | 21 |
| CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE..... | 21 |

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CASES

| | |
|--|-----------|
| <i>Air Prods. & Controls, Inc. v. Safetech Int'l, Inc.</i> , 503 F.3d 544 (6th Cir. 2007)..... | 6, 15 |
| <i>Anthony Domenic Reo v. Palmer Admin. Servs.</i> , N.D. Ohio No. 1-18-CV-2065..... | 1 |
| <i>Ashcroft v. Iqbal</i> , 129 S. Ct. 1937 (2009)..... | 6 |
| <i>Bank of America Corp. v. City of Miami, Fla.</i> , 137 S.Ct. 1296 (2017)..... | 10 |
| <i>Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly</i> , 550 U.S. 544 (2007)..... | 6 |
| <i>Binno v. Am. Bar Ass'n.</i> , 826 F.3d. 338 (6 th Cir. 2016)..... | 4 |
| <i>Bird v. Parsons</i> , 289 F.3d 865, 871 (6th Cir. 2002)..... | 12, 14 |
| <i>Burger King Corp. v. Rudzewicz</i> , 471 U.S. 462, 474 (1985)..... | 5, 12 |
| <i>Calphalon Corp. v. Rowlette</i> , 228 F.3d 718, 721-723 (6th Cir. 2000)..... | 15 |
| <i>Cheathem v. McCormick</i> , 100. F.3d. 956, 1996WL662887, at *2 (6 th Cir. 1996)..... | 18 |
| <i>City of Los Angeles v. Lyons</i> , 461 U.S. 95, 101 (1983)..... | 4 |
| <i>CompuServe, Inc. v. Patterson</i> , 89 F.3d 1257, 1261-62 (6th Cir. 1996)..... | 5, 15 |
| <i>Conley v. Gibson</i> , 355 U.S. 41 (1957)..... | 6 |
| <i>Conn v. Zakharov</i> , 667 F.3d 705, 712-13 (6th Cir. 2012)..... | 6, 12, 13 |
| <i>Filtrexx International, LLC v. Truelsen</i> , No. 5:12-cv-58, 2013 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 19536 (N.D. Ohio Feb. 13, 2012)..... | 16 |
| <i>Glenn v. Holder</i> , 738 F.Supp. 718, 724 (E.D. Mich 2010)..... | 4 |
| <i>Hagy v. Demers & Adams</i> , 882 F.3d. 616, 623 (6 th Cir. 2018)..... | 9 |
| <i>Harris v. Lloyds TSB Bank, PLC</i> , 281 Fed. Appx. 489, 494 (6th Cir. 2008)..... | 15 |
| <i>Helicopteros Nacionales de Colombia S.A. v. Hall</i> , 466 U.S. 408 (1984)..... | 13 |
| <i>Howell Contractors, Inc. v. Berling</i> , 383 S.W.3d. 465, 467 (Ky.Ct.App. 2012)..... | 19 |

| | |
|--|-----------|
| <i>Huff v. Telecheck Services, Inc.</i> , 923 F.3d. 458, 2019WL1967707 (6 th Cir., decided May 3, 2019)..... | 8, 9, 10 |
| <i>In re Appalachian Fuels, LLC</i> , 493 B.R. 1 (6 th Cir. BAP 2013)..... | 19 |
| <i>In re Phillips</i> , 139 P.3d. 639, 644 (2006)..... | 19 |
| <i>Int'l Shoe Co. v. State of Wash., Office of Unemployment Comp. & Placement</i> , 326 U.S. 310, 316 (1945)..... | 5, 12, 13 |
| <i>Ippolito v. WNS, Inc.</i> , 864 F.2d 440, 449 (7th Cir.1988)..... | 18 |
| <i>Jarnagin v. Busby, Inc.</i> , 867 P.2d. 63, 69 (Colo. App. 1993)..... | 20 |
| <i>Johnson v. Wells Fargo Home Mortgage, Inc.</i> , 558 F. Supp. 2d 1114, 1122 (D. Nev. 2008)..... | 11 |
| <i>Kerry Steel, Inc. v. Paragon Indus., Inc.</i> , 106 F.3d 147, 149 (6th Cir. 1997)..... | 13, 15 |
| <i>LAK, Inc. v. Deer Creek Enters.</i> , 885 F.2d 1293, 1298 (6th Cir. 1989)..... | 6 |
| <i>Lexmark Int'l Inc. v. Static Control Components, Inc.</i> , 134 S. Ct. 1377, 1388 (2014)... | 10 |
| <i>Lujan v. Defenders of Wildlife</i> , 504 U.S. 555, 560 (1992)..... | 4, 7, 8 |
| <i>Lyshe v. Levy</i> , 854 F.3d. 855, 857 (2017 6 th Cir.)..... | 4 |
| <i>Lyshe v. Levy</i> , 854 F.3d. 855, 861-62 (2017 6 th Cir.)..... | 4, 7 |
| <i>Nationwide Mut. Ins. Co. v. Tryg Int'l Ins. Co., Ltd.</i> , 91 F.3d 790, 793 (6th Cir. 1996)..... | 5 |
| <i>Neogen Corp. v. Neo Gen Screening, Inc.</i> , 282 F.3d 883, 888 (6th Cir. 2002)..... | 5 |
| <i>Plunderbund</i> , 312 F.Supp.3d. at 559..... | 4 |
| <i>Plunderbund Media LLC v. DeWine</i> , 312 F.Supp.3d. 654, 659 (N.D. Ohio 2018).... | 3, 4 |
| <i>Reo v. Palmer Admin. Servs.</i> , 6 th Cir. Case No. 18-3924 (May 30, 2019)..... | 1 |
| <i>S. Mach. Co. v. Mohasco Indus., Inc.</i> , 401 F.2d 374, 381 (6th Cir. 1968)..... | 15, 16 |
| <i>Shelton v. Direct Energy LP, et al.</i> , E.D. PA Case No. 2:18-cv-4375, Doc. 13-1, | |

| | |
|--|---------------------|
| p. 12..... | 1 |
| <i>Shelton v. Direct Energy LP</i> , N.D. Ohio No. 1:19-CV-81, Declaration of James Everett Shelton (February 13, 2019), Doc. 46-1, ¶ 4, 8, 21..... | 2 |
| | |
| <i>Shelton v. Nat'l Student Debt Assist, LLC, et al.</i> , E.D. PA Case No. 2:18-cv-0254 (MSG), Doc. No. 15-1, p. 14..... | 1 |
| | |
| <i>Soehnlen v. Fleet Owners Insurance Fund</i> , 844 F.3d. 576, 579-80 (6 th Cir. 2016)..... | 4, 7 |
| | |
| <i>Spokeo, Inc. v. Robins</i> , 136 S. Ct. 1540, 1549 (2016), as revised (May 24, 2016)..... | 4, 7, 8, 9, 10 |
| | |
| <i>Stoops v. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.</i> , 197 F. Supp.3d 782 (W.D. PA 2016)..... | 10 |
| | |
| <i>Theunissen v. Matthews</i> , 935 F.2d 1454, 1458 (6th Cir. 1991)..... | 5 |
| | |
| <i>Third Nat'l Bank in Nashville v. WEDGE Group, Inc.</i> , 882 F.2d 1087, 1089 (6th Cir.1989), cert. denied, 493 U.S. 1058, 110 S. Ct. 870, 107 L.Ed.2d 953 (1990)..... | 16 |
| | |
| <i>Trans Union Corp. v. Federal Trade Comm'n</i> , 81 F.3d 228, 231 (D.C.Cir.1996)..... | 18 |
| | |
| <i>UPS Worldwide Forwarding, Inc. v. U.S. Postal Serv.</i> , 66 F.3d 621, 626 (3d Cir. 1995)..... | 10 |
| | |
| <i>US ex. rel. Bledsoe v. Community Health Systems, Inc.</i> 342 F.3d. 634, 643 (6 th Cir. 2003)..... | 15 |
| | |
| <i>Warth v. Seldin</i> , 422 U.S. 490, 498 (1975)..... | 4 |
| | |
| <i>Weller v. Cromwell Oil Co.</i> , 504 F.2d 927, 930 (6th Cir. 1974)..... | 5 |
| | |
| <i>World-Wide Volkswagen Corp. v. Woodson</i> , 444 U.S. 286, 291 (1980)..... | 5 |
| | |
| <i>Yuhasz v. Brush Wellman, Inc.</i> , 341 F.3d 559, 564 (6 th Cir. 2003)..... | 15 |
| | |
| <i>Zoya v. NIOS, Inc.</i> , No. 1:13-CV-00780-DCN, 2013 WL 4511922, at *2 (N.D. Ohio Aug. 23, 2013)..... | 5, 12, 13 14, 15 |

STATUTES AND RULES

| | |
|------------------------------------|-----------|
| 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(d)(1)..... | 17 |
| 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(f)..... | 19 |
| 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(f)(2)(A)(i)..... | 18 |
| 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(r)..... | 17 |
| 15 U.S.C. § 1681(g)..... | 8, 16 |
| 15 U.S.C. § 1691a(d)..... | 17 |
| 15 U.S.C. § 1691a(d)(1)..... | 17 |
| Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(1)..... | 3, 10, 11 |
| Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(2)..... | 3, 5, 6 |
| Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6)..... | 3, 6 |
| Ohio Rev. Code §2307.382..... | 6, 11 |